

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,160	03/26/2004	Eric Joseph Bilskie	9596	1981
	7590 05/11/200 R & GAMBLE COMP		EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION - WEST BLDG. WINTON HILL BUSINESS CENTER - BOX 412			PETERSON, KENNETH E	
	L BUSINESS CENTER	K - BUX 412	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI,	CINCINNATI, OH 45224		3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	05/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/811,160	BILSKIE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kenneth E. Peterson	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 May 2007</u> .						
,						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	I.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2.8,10,15,17,20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1.3-7.9.11-14,16,18 and 19 is/are reje	ected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Linterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

r.Y

Application/Control Number: 10/811,160 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1,3-7,9,11-14,16,18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

McCay et al.'575 shows a core slabber with most of the recited limitation including a cutter (30) mounted on an axial traversing element (23,31), which in turn is mounted on a radial traversing element (11). The feeler 80 constitutes a controller that determines a maximum depth of cut (paragraph spanning columns 3 and 4.

McCay lacks an integral transport element with two engaging portions, but it is well known in the art to employ an integral transport element, as taught by Esping et al.'098, who shows in figure 2 a pair of engagement pins (18) for transporting the roll upward to the slabbing position (lines 28-30, column 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified McCay by adding an integral transport element, as taught by Esping, in order to facilitate lifting the heavy roll into the slabbing position.

The "feed section" is below the roll in McCay's figure 2. The pins 18 lift the roll from the "feed section" up to the slabbing position.

The "discharge section" can be any spot nearby McCay's machine. The roll "may be conveyed" to the discharge section. Note that Applicant has claimed no structure for performing this task. It could be rolled there manually.

Art Unit: 3724

The "material removal section" is wherever the conveyor takes the material (lines 33,34, column 2).

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth E. Peterson whose telephone number is 571-272-4512. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur, 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

kp

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER